

**DRY LAND APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM<sup>1</sup>**  
**U.S. Army Corps of Engineers**

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

**SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION**

**A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): 20-APR-2023**

**B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER:** SAC-2022-01639 Carolina Limo Scipio Rd Tract

**C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:**

State: South Carolina County: Horry County City: Myrtle Beach

Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 33.6298 °, Long. -79.0139 °

Universal Transverse Mercator:

Name of nearest waterbody: Collins Creek

Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): Socastee Swamp -Atlantic Intracoastal Waterway HUC 030402060906

- ☒ Check if map/diagram of review area is available upon request.
- ☐ Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc...) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.

**D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):**

- ☒ Office (Desk) Determination. Date: **11-ARP-2023**
- ☐ Field Determination. Date(s):

**SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS**

**A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.**

There **are no** “*navigable waters of the U.S.*” within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area.

**B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.**

There **are no** “*waters of the U.S.*” within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area.

**SECTION III: DATA SOURCES.**

**A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below):**

- ☒ Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Project area is depicted on a map submitted by the agent titled “**Wetland Delineation**/ Carolina Limo Scipio Rd. Tract (2.61 +/- ac)/ TMS# 191-00-01-353/ Horry County, South Carolina” dated November 9, 2022
- ☒ Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.
- ☒ Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.
- ☐ Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.
- ☐ Data sheets prepared by the Corps:
- ☐ U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:
- ☐ USGS NHD data.
- ☐ USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.
- ☒ U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: 7.5 Minute Index / Bucksville Quad / 1:24000; USGS topographic survey information depicts the project boundary as cleared uplands void of any wetland symbology
- ☒ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: NRCS / Soil Survey Geographic Database (SSURGO), Map service dated April 4, 2019, updated March 4, 2020; soil survey information depicts the project boundary as the partially hydric (2% hydric inclusions) Yauhannah fine sandy loam.
- ☒ National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: Wetlands Raster REST Map Service dated May 9, 2012, updated July 17, 2020; NWIs map the project boundary as entirely uplands
- ☐ State/Local wetland inventory map(s):
- ☐ FEMA/FIRM maps:
- ☐ 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929)
- ☒ Photographs: ☒ Aerial (Name & Date): SCDNR 2020
- ☐ ☒ Other (Name & Date): Site photos submitted by the agent dated November 9, 2022
- ☐ Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter:
- ☐ Applicable/supporting case law:
- ☐ Applicable/supporting scientific literature:

<sup>1</sup> This form is for use only in recording approved JDs involving dry land. It extracts the relevant elements of the longer approved JD form in use since 2007 for aquatic areas and adds no new fields.

**B. REQUIRED ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD. EXPLAIN RATIONALE FOR DETERMINATION THAT THE REVIEW AREA ONLY INCLUDES DRY LAND:** This form addresses 2.61 acres of uplands in Myrtle Beach, SC. The USGS topographic survey information located within Bucksville quad depicts the project area as uplands that do not contain any blue line features or wetland symbology. In addition, NWIs do not depict any potential wetlands or tributaries onsite. Soil survey information depicts partially hydric soils on site and the agent's data forms and photographs depict sandy soils comprised of >30% uncoated sand grains and indicate the review area lacked indicators of hydrology. Due to the consistent in the depiction of uplands in desktop resources to this office and information submitted by the agent, the project area addressed in this form is determined to consist entirely of uplands